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How the repository looks to our LTU clientele

1. Does not have disciplinary imprimatur
2. Associated with regulation and assessment (eg ERA, HERDC, academic workload management system)
3. System is not client centric, lacks flexibility for researcher tasks, interface lacks functionality
4. Role of the repository in the ecosystem of research systems & open access/ scholarly publishing nexus is poorly understood
5. Poor for communicating with their wider research world – not a push system ( eg compare Researchgate)
6. Name is not trendy/youthful, with low LTU brand recognition
Research ecosystem @ LTU

1. Research Master
2. RMENET
3. Eforms with workflow via DDA Database (Ethics Approval, Grant Applications, Research Focus Area)
4. RMEWEB
5. RM Online
6. AWPS
7. SAP HR Data & Finance Data – via access database for Account Code info.
8. Reporting – ERA, HERDC (Publications Report)
9. Inteum
10. Animal Ethics
11. My Publications (Symplectic Elements software)
12. Library Repository – Research Online on Arrow machine (Fedora, Vital, Valet software)
13. Research Data Management planning - RDMP – (RedBox software)
14. VICNODE – data storage
15. Research data management enterprise systems – Sidora, Figshare, HubZero, etc (under consideration)
The Repository Manager view